OBLON

SPIVAK

McClelland

MAIER

NEUSTADT P.C.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

ECKHARD H. KUESTERS
(703) 413-3000

EKUESTERS@OBLON.COM



Docket No.: 240043US2

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

RE: Application Serial No.: 10/615,800

Applicants: Shinji KOBAYASHI et al

Filing Date: July 10, 2003

For: APPARATUS AND METHOD FOR DRYING UNDER

REDUCED PRESSURE, AND COATING FILM

FORMING APPARATUS

Group Art Unit: 1762 Examiner: JOLLEY, K.

SIR:

Attached hereto for filing are the following papers:

PROVISIONAL ELECTION

Our check in the amount of \$0.00 is attached covering any required fees. In the event any variance exists between the amount enclosed and the Patent Office charges for filing the above-noted documents, including any fees required under 37 C.F.R 1.136 for any necessary Extension of Time to make the filing of the attached documents timely, please charge or credit the difference to our Deposit Account No. 15-0030. Further, if these papers are not considered timely filed, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for the necessary extension of time. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,

MAJER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Eckhard H. Kuesters

Registration No. 28,870

Customer Number

22850

(703) 413-3000 (phone) (703) 413-2220 (fax)



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF

SHINJI KOBAYASHI ET AL : EXAMINER: JOLLEY, K.

SERIAL NO: 10/615,800

FILED: JULY 10, 2003 : GROUP ART UNIT: 1762

FOR: APPARATUS AND METHOD FOR DRYING UNDER REDUCED PRESSURE,

AND COATING FILM FORMING

APPARATUS

PROVISIONAL ELECTION

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

SIR:

In response to the election requirement dated June 28, 2004, Applicants provisionally elect with traverse Group I, Claims 1-10, drawn to an apparatus for drying, classified in class 118, subclass 50, for further examination on the merits. Applicants reserve the right to file one or more divisional applications directed to the non-elected invention.

Furthermore, while the Election Requirement asserts that the application contains claims to patentably distinct inventions, MPEP § 803 states the following:

If the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the Examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to distinct or independent inventions.

Although the outstanding Official Action identifies different search classifications, it is believed that the claims of the present application would have to be searched in a handful of

Application No. 10/615,800 Reply to Office Action of June 28, 2004

sub-classes. Furthermore, since electronic searching is commonly performed, a search may be made of a large number of, or theoretically all, subclasses without substantial additional effort. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully traverse the Restriction Requirement on the grounds that a search and examination of the entire application would not place a *serious* burden on the Examiner, whereas it would be a serious burden on Applicants to prosecute and maintain separate applications.

Therefore, it is respectfully requested that the requirement to elect a single group be withdrawn, and that a full examination on the merits of Claims 1-14 are conducted.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,

MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Customer Number

22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000 Fax: (703) 413 -2220 (OSMMN 08/03)

I:\ATTY\EHK\AMEND-RESPONSES\0039\24\$\240043US-PE.DOC

Eckhard H. Kuesters Attorney of Record Registration No. 28,870